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This report characterizes data on live births delivered in 2012 and has been compiled by the County of Santa Cruz Public Health Department’s Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health (MCAH) Program in the Family Health Unit. The report is partially funded through the California Department of Public Health's MCAH allocation funds. Data was obtained from the local birth certificate registry which is locally managed by the Public Health Department's Vital Statistics Program.

## In Summary:

- In 2012, there were 3,075 live births among mothers who reside in Santa Cruz County, which is 147 or $5 \%$ fewer births than in 2011.
- The General Fertility Rate in 2012 was 54.8 births per 1,000 females of typical childbearing age (1544 years) among Santa Cruz County residents compared to 55.8 in 2011. In 2011, the U.S. rate was 63.2 per 1,000 women -- the lowest ever reported for the United States (Source: cDC. "Births: Preliminary data for 2011").
- An additional 475 births were delivered in Santa Cruz County to mothers who are residents of other counties, primarily Monterey County (81\%).


## TEEN BIRTHS (19 and Under)

- In 2012, $87 \%$ of births to teens were to Latina teens, and $75 \%$ of all teen mothers lived in south Santa Cruz County (see definition on page 2).
- Births to teens as a percentage of all births decreased from 7.9\% in 2011 to 7.3\% in 2012, and the number of teen births was lower in 2012, with 224 births compared to 256 births in 2011.
- In 2012, there were 2 births to 14 year old females compared to 4 births to 14 year olds in 2011; there were zero births to 13 years old females in 2012 compared to 1 birth to a 13 year old in 2011.
- Among teen births, $12 \%$ were delivering their second (or more) birth in 2012 compared to $14 \%$ in 2011.


## MEDI-CAL

$\cdot 52 \%$ of all deliveries to residents were funded by Medi-Cal in 2012, compared to 53\% in 2011.

## For more information, contact:

Jessica Oltmanns, MPH; Email: Jessica.Oltmanns@co.santa-cruz.ca.us; phone: (831) 454-4647

## For more copies of the report:

Refer to our website www.SantaCruzHealth.org, and go to the "Reports and Statistics" link.

## Suggested Citation:

County of Santa Cruz, Public Health Department. Births, Santa Cruz County, 2012. Santa Cruz County, CA. June 2013.

## DEFI NI TI ONS AND TECHNI CAL NOTES

## DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this report, the following terms are defined as shown below:

| TERM | MEANI NG |
| :--- | :--- |
| Residents | All mothers who self-identify as residing in Santa Cruz County, regardless of where they <br> delivered. A small number of women identify as living in Santa Cruz County, but actually live in <br> another county, usually Monterey. However, they are counted as Santa Cruz County residents in <br> accordance with the countv shown on their birth certificate. |
| Occurrence | All mothers who delivered in Santa Cruz County, regardless of where they reside |
| Mid-County | Aptos, Capitola, La Selva Beach, Seascape and Soquel |
| Santa Cruz Mountains (Mtns) | Ben Lomond, Boulder Creek, Brookdale, Felton, Lompico, Los Gatos and Mt. Hermon |
| Santa Cruz | Bonny Doon, Davenport and Santa Cruz |
| South County | Corralitos, Freedom, Pajaro and Watsonville |
|  | In this report, ethnicity categories combine the concepts of race and ethnicity, which are <br> collected separately on the birth certificate. The combination defines Latino to mean Latino <br> ethnicity regardless of race chosen, and the remaining categories reflect a non-Latino ethnicity <br> (e.g., White means non-Latino White). All categories are mutually exclusive. Note, Pac. Isl. or <br> Pac. Islander = Pacific Islander and includes Native Hawaiian, but not Filipino. |
| Ethnicity <br> (includes Race) | Less than 2500 grams or 5.5 pounds |
| Low Birthweight | Less than 1500 grams or 3.3 pounds |
| Very Low Birthweight | Less than 37 completed weeks of gestation |
| Preterm | Less than 32 completed weeks of gestation |
| Very Preterm | Vaginal Birth after Cesarean |
| VBAC | The number of live births per 1,000 women ages 15-44 (typical childbearing age) |
| General Fertility Rate | This measure is a ratio of actual prenatal visits compared to the number of visits recommended <br> by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (i.e., one visit per month through 28 <br> weeks, one visit every 2 weeks through 36 weeks, and one visit per week thereafter, adjusted <br> for date of initiation of prenatal care); "Adequate or Better" is defined as attending 80\% or more <br> of recommended prenatal care visits, and "Less than Adequate" is a score of less than 80\% of <br> expected visits. The Kotelchuck Index was defined by Milton Kotelchuck in 1994 in an attempt to <br> measure appropriate utilization of prenatal care. |
|  |  |

## TECHNICAL NOTES

The term "significant difference" used in this report does mean there is a statistically significant difference based on $95 \%$ confidence limits (the probability is less than 5\% that the difference was due to normal variation), assuming a normal distribution. Statistical significance tests do not necessarily imply meaningful significance. Missing data are not included in the denominators of proportions, but they are included in totals unless otherwise noted; as missing data increases, the remaining rates become increasingly unreliable.

## DATA SOURCES

All of the Santa Cruz County birth data in this report (unless otherwise noted) are directly extracted from the Santa Cruz County Automated Vital Statistics System where birth certificate records are maintained, and should be considered provisional until they have gone through data cleaning by the state, which often takes two years to complete. The 2012 data were accessed on February 20, 2013 and analyzed using Cal Birth Info, aside from the Kotelchuck Index which was analyzed using the Kotelchuck SAS program.

Population data is from the State of California, Department of Finance, Report P-3: State and County Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity, Detailed Age, and Gender, 2010-2060. Sacramento, California, January 2013
(http://www.dof.ca.gov/research/demographic/reports/projections/P-3/).
California birth data is from the California Department of Public Health Vital Statistics Query System (http://www.apps.cdph.ca.gov/vsq/default.asp). United States data is from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/index.htm).

## 1. OVERALL COUNTY DEMOGRAPHI CS

The California Department of Finance projected the total population in Santa Cruz County to be 267,569 in 2012 (see Table 1.1). The Department of Finance data was chosen instead of Census data because it provides annual population counts by sex, age and race/ethnicity which allows for rate calculations.

## GENDER

Nearly equal numbers of males and females reside in Santa Cruz County, with slightly more females than males.

## AGE \& ETHNICITY

Over $90 \%$ of the county's population is either White (58.1\%) or Latino (33.4\%). The remaining groups account for much smaller fractions of the population: Asians and Pacific Islanders, Blacks, and multiple ethnicities and other ethnic groups (Table 1.1).

In Santa Cruz County, the Latino population is much younger compared to the White population (Figure 1.1). For example, $71 \%$ of the Latino population was under age 40 , compared to $42 \%$ of the White population in 2012.

Table 1.1: Demographics, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

|  |  | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| GENDER | Percent |  |
| Female | 134,037 | $50.1 \%$ |
| Male | 133,532 | $49.9 \%$ |
| AGE (Years) |  |  |
| 4 and Under | 15,477 | $5.8 \%$ |
| $5-19$ | 52,197 | $19.5 \%$ |
| $20-44$ | 92,991 | $34.8 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 74,731 | $27.9 \%$ |
| 65 and Over | 32,172 | $12.0 \%$ |
| ETHNICITY |  |  |
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 969 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian / Pacific Islander | 12,292 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Black | 2,444 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Latino | 89,385 | $33.4 \%$ |
| White | 155,338 | $58.1 \%$ |
| Multiple Ethnicities | 7,142 | $2.7 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{2 6 7 , 5 6 9}$ |

Figure 1.1: Age Distribution, by White and Latino Ethnicity, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


## 2. KEY HEALTH MEASURES

## AGE OF MOTHER

In 2012, younger mothers were significantly more likely to under-utilize prenatal care than their older counterparts (Figure 2.1). Teenage mothers (age 19 and under) had the highest proportion of mothers with less than adequate utilization of prenatal care, with over one-quarter receiving less than adequate number of prenatal care visits.

Low birthweight data is shown both for all births and for "Singletons Only" (excluding multiple births, such as twins) because multiple births are known to have much higher rates of low birthweight. In 2012, there were 88 multiple births and $51 \%$ of those were low birthweight.

Neither low birthweight categories (all and "singletons only") nor preterm percentages were significantly different by age group.

## ETHNICITY OF MOTHER

White mothers had a significantly lower rate of less than adequate prenatal care compared to Latina and Other ethnicity mothers (Figure 2.2).

White mothers had a significantly higher percent of low birthweight births compared to Latina mothers. However, that difference did not remain when comparing births of singletons only. None of the other measures differed significantly.

Figure 2.1: Key Health Measures, by Age of Mother, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

(1) There were 6, 67 and 15 deliveries missing a component of the Adequate PNC Visitis measure for births to mothers in age groups: $<20,20-34, \& 35+$, respectively.
(2) There were $0 \%, 1.8 \%$, and $4.2 \%$ of births that were multiples born to mothers in the respective age groups: $<20,20-34$, \& $35+$.

Figure 2.2: Key Health Measures, by Ethnicity of Mother, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


There were 53 births to mothers with ethnicity listed as unknown or withheld; they have been excluded from the above calculations. Other ethnicity includes Asian, Black, Native American, Pacific Islander and those who identify as Other. (1) There were 40, 36, and 4 mothers missing a component of the Adequate PNC measure for births to White, Latina and Other ethnicity mothers, respectively.
(2) There were $3.5 \%, 2.2 \%$, and $5.2 \%$ of births that were multiples born to White, Latina and Other ethnicity mothers. respectively.

## EDUCATION OF MOTHER

In 2012, 22\% of mothers ages 25 and older did not have a high school diploma or equivalent. Of those mothers, 20.5\% utilized less than adequate numbers of prenatal care visits, which was significantly greater than mothers who obtained a high school diploma or a higher degree (Figure 2.3).

Mothers with a higher education degree were significantly more likely to have a low birthweight baby compared to mothers who did not complete high school. However, this difference did not remain for births of singletons only -indicating that the difference was due to multiple births which are more common among mothers with a higher degree.

None of the other measures differed significantly by education level.

## DELIVERY PAYMENT SOURCE

In 2012, Medi-Cal funded 52\% of deliveries to county residents and private insurance funded $45 \%$ of deliveries, the remainder were otherwise insured or not insured. Mothers with Medi-Cal funded deliveries were significantly more likely than mothers with privately insured deliveries to utilize less than adequate prenatal care visits.

Neither low birthweight categories nor preterm births differed significantly by delivery payment source.

Figure 2.3: Key Health Measures, by Education of Mother (Age 25 and Older), Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


GED = General Equivalency Degree (or diploma)
There were 117 out of 2290 births to mothers age 25 and over where education status was unknown or withheld; they have been excluded from the above calculations.
(1) There were 8, 22, and 25 births to mothers missing a component of the Adequate PNC measure for <HS Diploma, HS/GED (incl. some college), Higher Degree Obtained (incl. Associate, Bachelor's, Master's, Doctorate and Professional School), respectively.
(2) There were $2.7 \%, 3.2 \%$, and $4.3 \%$ of births that were multiples born to mothers with <H.S. Diploma, H.S./GED (incl. some college), and Higher Degree Obtained, respectively.

Figure 2.4: Key Health Measures, by Delivery Payment Source, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

(1) There were 42 and 26 deliveries missing a component of the Adequate PNC measure for Medi-Cal and Private Insurance
funded deliveries, respectively.
(2) There were $2.2 \%$ and $3.7 \%$ of births that were multiples with deliveries funded by Medi-Cal and Private Insurance, respectively.

## 3. BI RTHS BY DEMOGRAPHI CS OF MOTHERS

TABLE 3.1: Characteristics of Mothers, by Age Group, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 and Under |  | 20-24 |  | 25-34 |  | 35 and Over |  | Number | Percent |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |
| ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian \& Pac. Islander | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0.9\% | 58 | 4\% | 39 | 7\% | 101 | 3\% |
| Black | 3 | 1\% | 5 | 1\% | 10 | 1\% | 7 | 1\% | 25 | 1\% |
| Latina | 195 | 89\% | 444 | 80\% | 811 | 49\% | 224 | 37\% | 1,674 | 55\% |
| White | 19 | 9\% | 96 | 17\% | 759 | 46\% | 321 | 54\% | 1,195 | 40\% |
| Other | 3 | 1\% | 5 | 1\% | 11 | 1\% | 8 | 1\% | 27 | 1\% |
| AREA OF RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-County | 6 | 3\% | 30 | 5\% | 259 | 16\% | 97 | 16\% | 392 | 13\% |
| Santa Cruz Mtns | 4 | 2\% | 22 | 4\% | 127 | 8\% | 61 | 10\% | 214 | 7\% |
| Santa Cruz | 41 | 18\% | 111 | 20\% | 499 | 30\% | 238 | 38\% | 889 | 29\% |
| Scotts Valley | 3 | 1\% | 7 | 1\% | 81 | 5\% | 22 | 4\% | 113 | 4\% |
| South County | 170 | 76\% | 391 | 70\% | 703 | 42\% | 203 | 33\% | 1,467 | 48\% |
| PARITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st Child | 198 | 88\% | 299 | 53\% | 608 | 37\% | 165 | 27\% | 1,270 | 41\% |
| 2nd - 3rd Child | 26 | 12\% | 247 | 44\% | 887 | 53\% | 323 | 52\% | 1,483 | 48\% |
| 4th+ Child | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 3\% | 170 | 10\% | 130 | 21\% | 314 | 10\% |
| PRENATAL CARE INITIATION AND UTILIZATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early (1st Trimester) | 145 | 66\% | 405 | 73\% | 1,429 | 86\% | 547 | 89\% | 2,526 | 83\% |
| Late (2nd or 3rd Trimester) | 74 | 34\% | 146 | 26\% | 222 | 13\% | 69 | 11\% | 511 | 17\% |
| No Prenatal Care | 1 | 0\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 3 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 0.2\% |
| Adequate or Better | 156 | 72\% | 413 | 76\% | 1,370 | 85\% | 535 | 88\% | 2,474 | 83\% |
| Less than Adequate | 62 | 28\% | 133 | 24\% | 247 | 15\% | 71 | 12\% | 513 | 17\% |
| Early and Adequate | 131 | 60\% | 362 | 66\% | 1,281 | 77\% | 502 | 81\% | 2,276 | 76\% |
| BIRTH OUTCOMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Birthweight | 13 | 5.8\% | 30 | 5.3\% | 96 | 5.8\% | 38 | 6.1\% | 177 | 5.8\% |
| Very Low Birthweight | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 0.9\% | 20 | 1.2\% | 10 | 1.6\% | 35 | 1.1\% |
| Preterm | 10 | 4.5\% | 43 | 7.7\% | 110 | 6.6\% | 46 | 7.4\% | 209 | 6.8\% |
| Very Preterm | 1 | 0.4\% | 9 | 1.6\% | 18 | 1.1\% | 10 | 1.6\% | 38 | 1.2\% |
| DELIVERY METHOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Cesarean | 38 | 17\% | 86 | 15\% | 250 | 15\% | 116 | 19\% | 490 | 16\% |
| Repeat Cesarean | 7 | 3\% | 43 | 8\% | 217 | 13\% | 118 | 19\% | 385 | 13\% |
| Vaginal | 179 | 80\% | 431 | 77\% | 1,183 | 71\% | 376 | 61\% | 2,169 | 71\% |
| VBAC | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 19 | 1\% | 11 | 2\% | 31 | 1\% |
| PAYMENT FOR DELIVERY ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medi-Cal | 193 | 86\% | 447 | 80\% | 759 | 46\% | 197 | 32\% | 1,596 | 52\% |
| Private Insurance | 27 | 12\% | 104 | 19\% | 860 | 52\% | 404 | 65\% | 1,395 | 45\% |
| Other Insurance | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 0.4\% | 7 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 0.4\% |
| No Insurance | 2 | 1\% | 6 | 1\% | 40 | 2\% | 20 | 3\% | 68 | 2\% |
| TOTAL | 224 | 7\% | 561 | 18\% | 1,669 | 54\% | 621 | 20\% | 3,075 | 100\% |

[^0]
## 3. BI RTHS BY DEMOGRAPHI CS OF MOTHERS

## AGE OF MOTHER

The selected demographics shown in Figure 3.1 differ significantly by age group. For example, a larger proportion of mothers age 19 and under are Latina ( $88.6 \%$ ) compared to mothers age 35 and over (44.6\%). The demographics shown were selected because of their well-known associations with age.

The age category with the largest number of births was to women ages $30-34$ years. The highest age-specific birth rate (the number of births per population in a specific age category), 82 births per 1,000 women, was also in that age group (Table 3.1 and Figure 3.2). For more information on teen births, go to page 9 .

Table 3.1: Births by Mother's Age Group and AgeSpecific Birth Rate per 1,000 Females, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

| Mother's Age <br> Group | Number <br> of <br> Births | Percent of <br> Births | Total <br> Female <br> Population <br> (per age group) | Birth Rate <br> per 1,000 <br> Popl'n |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-14$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | 7,351 | 0.3 |
| $15-19$ | 222 | $7.2 \%$ | 10,731 | 20.7 |
| $20-24$ | 561 | $18.2 \%$ | 11,372 | 49.3 |
| $25-29$ | 780 | $25.4 \%$ | 8,777 | 88.9 |
| $30-34$ | 889 | $28.9 \%$ | 9,082 | 97.9 |
| $35-39$ | 488 | $15.9 \%$ | 7,990 | 61.1 |
| $40-44$ | 124 | $4.0 \%$ | 7,713 | 16.1 |
| $45-49$ | 9 | $0.3 \%$ | 8,839 | 1.0 |
| TOTAL | 3,075 | $100 \%$ | 55,665 | 55.2 |

Rates are age-specific and are calculated by dividing the total number of births to females in an age group by the total female population in that age group. The "TOTAL" birth rate in this table is also known as the general fertility rate which is the number of births divided by the total female population ages $15-44$ ("childbearing age"). Note that the "TOTAL" Female Population only includes the female population ages 15-44.

Figure 3.1: Frequency of Selected Demographic Characteristics among Mothers of Different Age Groups, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

(1) There were $1,34 \& 20$ mothers with missing ethnicity data for the respective age groups: $<20,20-$ $34, \& 35+$. (2) There were $1,1 \& 2$ mothers with missing delivery payment data for the respective age groups: <20, 20-34, \& 35+.

Figure 3.2: Age-Specific Birth Rates (Births per 1,000 Female Population in Age Group), Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


## 3. BI RTHS BY DEMOGRAPHI CS OF MOTHERS

## ETHNICITY OF MOTHER

Among the "primary childbearing age" population (defined as females ages 15-44) in Santa Cruz County, approximately 39\% are Latina and 50\% are White. However, Latina mothers delivered 54\% of the babies, whereas White mothers delivered 39\% of babies in 2012.

The difference by ethnicities can also be seen by comparing ethnicity-specific fertility rates (Table 3.3 and Figure 3.4). The fertility rate (births per 1,000 women ages 15 to 44), is nearly twice as high among Latinas (76.8 per 1,000 ) compared to Whites (42.8 per 1,000).

Table 3.2: Births and Fertility Rate, by Ethnicity of Mother, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

| Ethnicity of <br> Mother | Number <br> of <br> Births | Percent <br> of <br> Births | Total <br> Female <br> Population <br> (Ages 15-44) | Fertility Rate <br> per 1,000 <br> Females <br> (Ages 15-44) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian \& Pac. Isl. | 101 | $3.3 \%$ | 3,825 | 26.4 |
| Black | 25 | $0.8 \%$ | 476 | 52.5 |
| Latina | 1,674 | $54.4 \%$ | 21,802 | 76.8 |
| Other | 27 | $0.9 \%$ | 2,018 | 13.4 |
| White | 1,195 | $38.9 \%$ | 27,952 | 42.8 |
| Unknown | 53 | $1.7 \%$ | -- | -- |
| TOTAL ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 56,073 | 57.4 |

[^1]Figure 3.3: Percentage of Births by Ethnicity of Mother ( $n=3,075$ ), Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


Figure 3.4: Fertility Rate (Births per 1,000 Females Age 15-44) by Ethnicity of Mother, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


## 4. TEEN BI RTHS (AGE 19 AND UNDER), RESI DENTS

TABLE 4.1: Characteristics of Teenage Mothers, by Age Group, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012


[^2]
## 4. TEEN BIRTHS (AGE 19 AND UNDER), RESI DENTS

In 2012, the birth rate among females ages 15-17 and 18-19 years was lower than 2011, but not significantly lower (Figure 4.1). However, the lower rate is in line with a continuing local, statewide, and nationwide trend of decreasing teen birth rates.

Figure 4.1: Age-Specific Teen Birth Rates per 1,000 Females, by Age Group, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2008-2012



Rates may differ from previous editions of the report because the population data has been updated to reflect current and revised California Department of Finance population estimates and projections. For years 2003 to 2009, "Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2010 (Sept. 2012)" was used. For years 2010 to 2012, "State of California, Department of Finance, Report P-3: State and County Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity, Detailed Age, and Gender, 2010-2060. Sacramento, California, January 2013."

## AREA OF RESIDENCE

The distribution of births by ZIP Code of residence often differs for teens compared to all age groups (Table 4.2). For example, residents in ZIP Code 95076 account for $68 \%$ of teen births, but only $45 \%$ of all births. One notable limitation of this table is that it does not adjust for the differing age groups within ZIP Codes.

TABLE 4.2: Teen Births and Overall Births, by Area of Residence, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

| Mother's Area of Residence | ZIP Code(s) | Teen Births (19 and Under) |  | Total Births (All Ages) |  | Percent of Births to Teens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | $\%$ of Teen Births in ZIP code | Number | $\%$ of Total Births in ZIP code | \% of Teen Births among Total Births in ZIP Code |
| Aptos | 95001,3 | 4 | 2\% | 187 | 6\% | 2\% |
| Capitola | 95010 | 1 | 0.4\% | 100 | 3\% | 1\% |
| Davenport | 95017 | 1 | 0\% | 8 | 0\% | 13\% |
| Freedom | 95019 | 17 | 8\% | 108 | 4\% | 16\% |
| Los Gatos | 95033 | 0 | 0\% | 31 | 1\% | 0\% |
| San Lorenzo Valley | 95005-7,18,41 | 4 | 2\% | 183 | 6\% | 2\% |
| Santa Cruz | 95060-5 | 40 | 18\% | 882 | 29\% | 5\% |
| Scotts Valley | 95066 | 3 | 1\% | 113 | 4\% | 3\% |
| Soquel | 95073 | 1 | 0\% | 92 | 3\% | 1\% |
| Watsonville | 95076 | 153 | 68\% | 1,371 | 45\% | 11\% |
| TOTAL |  | 224 | 100\% | 3,075 | 100\% | 7.3\% |

## 4. TEEN BIRTHS (AGE 19 AND UNDER), RESI DENTS

The percent of total births that are to teens decreased slightly from $7.9 \%$ in 2011 to $7.3 \%$ in 2012. The rate of births per 1,000 teen female population (age 15-19 years) continued to decrease locally, statewide, and nationwide over recent years. In Santa Cruz County, the rate was 20.7 per 1,000 population in 2012 , compared to 23.8 per 1,000 population in 2011.

Figure 4.2: Teen (Ages 15 to 19) Birth Rate per 1,000 Population, California and United States, 2003-2011, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2003-2012


Rates may differ from previous editions of the report because the population data has been updated to reflect current and revised California Department of Finance Population Estimates and Projections. For years 2003 to 2009, "Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2010 (Sept. 2012)" was used. For years 2010 to 2012, "State of California, Department of Finance, Report P-3: State and County Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity, Detailed Age, and Gender, 2010-2060. Sacramento, California, January 2013."

Figure 4.3 shows the number of teen mothers who were delivering their second (or more) birth. In 2012, one teenage mother delivered her third child. The repeat teen birth rate in the U.S. in 2011 was $20 \%$ compared to $13.9 \%$ in Santa Cruz County that year. In 2012, the percent decreased to $11.7 \%$ in Santa Cruz County.

Figure 4.3: Number of Teens (Ages 15-19) Delivering their 2nd or More Birth, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2003-2012


## 5. MOTHER'S WEI GHT GAI N \& SMOKI NG STATUS

The Institute of Medicine released a report recommending new guidelines for weight gain during pregnancy in 2009. In 2013, American College of Obestetricians and Gynecologists added weight gain recommendations to mothers carrying twins. The recommended total weight gain range for each category of pre-pregnancy BMI is as follows:
Pre-pregnancy Weight \& BMI (kg/m²) Weight Gain for Singletons Weight Gain for Twins

| Underweight | $<18.5$ | $28-40 \mathrm{lbs}$. | -- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $18.5-24.9$ | $25-35 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $37-54 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Overweight | $25.0-29.9$ | $15-25 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $31-50 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Obese | $\geq 30.0$ | $11-20 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $25-42 \mathrm{lbs}$. |

In 2012, $48 \%$ of mothers gained more weight than recommended during their pregnancy. This percentage excludes mothers with preterm births and mothers with missing pre and post pregnancy weight data, which leaves 2,635 births or $86 \%$ of all births. Mothers whose pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) was categorized as overweight or obese exceeded weight gain recommendation significantly more often than mothers with normal and underweight BMI levels. In 2012, nearly half (48\%) of mothers began pregnancy as overweight or obese.


## DAILY SMOKING STATUS

The number and percentage of females who self-reported smoking at least one cigarette a day during different times before and throughout pregnancy are shown below in Table 5.1. The percent who smoked before pregnancy decreased from $2.5 \%$ in 2011 to $1.4 \%$ in 2012.

TABLE 5.1: Mother's Smoking Status during Pregnancy, by Trimester, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2012

| Mother's <br> Smoking Status | 3 Months Before <br> Conception |  | During 1st <br> Trimester |  | During 2nd <br> Trimester |  | During 3rd <br> Trimester |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Smoked at least 1 cigarette per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 43 | $1.4 \%$ | 25 | $0.8 \%$ | 19 | $0.6 \%$ | 21 | $0.7 \%$ |  |
| No | 2,988 | $98.6 \%$ | 3,006 | $99.2 \%$ | 3,012 | $99.4 \%$ | 3,010 | $99.3 \%$ |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |

[^3]
## 6. BI RTHS BY AGE OF FATHER, MOTHERS ARE RESI DENTS

TABLE 6.1: Characteristics of Fathers, by Age Group, Santa Cruz County Mothers are Residents, 2012

| AGE OF FATHER (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 and Under |  | 18-19 |  | 20-24 |  | 25-34 |  | 35 and Over |  | Number | Percent |
| Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |


| AGE OF MOTHER (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 and Under | 13 | 68\% | 23 | 33\% | 17 | 4\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0\% | 54 | 2\% |
| 18-19 | 5 | 26\% | 27 | 39\% | 72 | 19\% | 18 | 1\% | 2 | 0\% | 124 | 4\% |
| 20-24 | 1 | 5\% | 17 | 25\% | 217 | 56\% | 250 | 17\% | 27 | 3\% | 512 | 17\% |
| 25-34 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 3\% | 75 | 19\% | 1,070 | 74\% | 478 | 46\% | 1,625 | 55\% |
| 35 and Over | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 2\% | 106 | 7\% | 527 | 51\% | 641 | 22\% |

## ETHNICITY OF FATHER

| Asian \& P.I. ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 4 | $1 \%$ | 37 | $3 \%$ | 41 | $4 \%$ | 83 | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $3 \%$ | 6 | $2 \%$ | 16 | $1 \%$ | 18 | $2 \%$ | 42 | $1 \%$ |
| Latino | 15 | $79 \%$ | 62 | $90 \%$ | 327 | $84 \%$ | 794 | $55 \%$ | 330 | $35 \%$ | 1,528 | $53 \%$ |
| White | 3 | $16 \%$ | 3 | $4 \%$ | 45 | $12 \%$ | 573 | $40 \%$ | 523 | $55 \%$ | 1,147 | $40 \%$ |
| Other | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $1 \%$ | 7 | $0 \%$ | 12 | $1 \%$ | 21 | $1 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1 | $5 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ | 18 | $1 \%$ | 29 | $3 \%$ | 54 | $2 \%$ |

EDUCATION OF FATHER

| 8th Grade \& Under | 2 | $11 \%$ | 10 | $14 \%$ | 70 | $18 \%$ | 195 | $14 \%$ | 127 | $13 \%$ | 404 | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some High School | 12 | $63 \%$ | 20 | $29 \%$ | 86 | $22 \%$ | 211 | $15 \%$ | 57 | $6 \%$ | 386 | $13 \%$ |
| HS Diploma or GED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) | 3 | $16 \%$ | 36 | $52 \%$ | 202 | $52 \%$ | 546 | $38 \%$ | 252 | $27 \%$ | 1,039 | $36 \%$ |
| Higher Degree Obtained | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 16 | $4 \%$ | 413 | $29 \%$ | 459 | $48 \%$ | 889 | $31 \%$ |
| Withheld | 2 | $11 \%$ | 2 | $3 \%$ | 14 | $4 \%$ | 70 | $5 \%$ | 55 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: There were 200 (6.5\%) fathers without age information.
(1) P.I. = Pacific Islander; (2) GED = General Equivalency Degree (or Diploma); includes those with some college

## 7. BI RTHS BY DELI VERY LOCATI ON

TABLE 7.1: Characteristics of Births, by Delivery Location, Santa Cruz County Occurrence or Residence, 2012


ETHNICITY OF MOTHER

| Asian \& P.I. ${ }^{(1)}$ | 40 | $4 \%$ | 43 | $4 \%$ | 12 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 16 | $9 \%$ | 112 | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black | 9 | $1 \%$ | 11 | $1 \%$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $2 \%$ | 25 | $1 \%$ |
| Latina | 371 | $41 \%$ | 267 | $28 \%$ | 1,290 | $94 \%$ | 6 | $8 \%$ | 56 | $32 \%$ | 1,990 | $57 \%$ |
| White | 480 | $53 \%$ | 627 | $65 \%$ | 61 | $4 \%$ | 63 | $89 \%$ | 99 | $57 \%$ | 1,330 | $38 \%$ |
| Other | 13 | $1 \%$ | 14 | $1 \%$ | 3 | $0.2 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ | 32 | $1 \%$ |

EDUCATION OF MOTHER

| 8th Grade \& Under | 42 | $5 \%$ | 9 | $1 \%$ | 327 | $30 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $6 \%$ | 388 | $13 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some High School | 67 | $9 \%$ | 23 | $3 \%$ | 284 | $26 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 9 | $5 \%$ | 383 | $13 \%$ |
| HS Diploma or GED ${ }^{(2)}$ | 304 | $39 \%$ | 319 | $39 \%$ | 416 | $38 \%$ | 14 | $19 \%$ | 46 | $27 \%$ | 1,099 | $38 \%$ |
| Higher Degree Obtained | 361 | $47 \%$ | 457 | $57 \%$ | 71 | $6 \%$ | 59 | $81 \%$ | 105 | $62 \%$ | 1,053 | $36 \%$ |

## PRENATAL CARE INITIATION AND UTILIZATION

| Early (1st Trimester) | 821 | 89\% | 876 | 91\% | 959 | 70\% | 54 | 73\% | 160 | 83\% | 2,870 | 82\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late (2nd or 3rd Trimester) | 96 | 10\% | 89 | 9\% | 402 | 30\% | 20 | 27\% | 31 | 16\% | 638 | 18\% |
| No Prenatal Care | 2 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 5 | 0.1\% |
| Adequate or Better | 738 | 86\% | 887 | 92\% | 1,027 | 75\% | 59 | 81\% | 127 | 66\% | 2,838 | 82\% |
| Less than Adequate | 124 | 14\% | 77 | 8\% | 335 | 25\% | 14 | 19\% | 66 | 34\% | 616 | 18\% |
| Early \& Adequate | 694 | 81\% | 841 | 87\% | 893 | 66\% | 49 | 67\% | 116 | 60\% | 2,593 | 75\% |
| BIRTH OUTCOMES ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Birthweight | 97 | 10.3\% | 30 | 3.1\% | 54 | 3.9\% | 0 | 0\% | 27 | 13.8\% | 208 | 5.9\% |
| Very Low Birthweight | 16 | 1.7\% | 3 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0.6\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 5.6\% | 38 | 1.1\% |
| Preterm | 122 | 13.0\% | 17 | 1.7\% | 67 | 4.9\% | 0 | 0\% | 32 | 16.3\% | 238 | 6.7\% |
| Very Preterm | 18 | 1.9\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 0.7\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 6.1\% | 40 | 1.1\% |
| DELIVERY METHOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Cesarean | 152 | 16\% | 139 | 14\% | 228 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 40 | 20\% | 559 | 16\% |
| Repeat Cesarean | 103 | 11\% | 98 | 10\% | 250 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 10\% | 470 | 13\% |
| Vaginal | 664 | 71\% | 715 | 73\% | 885 | 65\% | 74 | 100\% | 134 | 68\% | 2,472 | 70\% |
| VBAC | 19 | 2\% | 22 | 2\% | 5 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 49 | 1\% |

PAYMENT FOR DELIVERY

| Medi-Cal | 410 | 44\% | 248 | 26\% | 1,166 | 85\% | 1 | 1\% | 45 | 23\% | 1,870 | 53\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Insurance | 518 | 55\% | 714 | 74\% | 192 | 14\% | 21 | 28\% | 147 | 75\% | 1,592 | 45\% |
| Other Insurance | 5 | 1\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 2 | 3\% | 3 | 2\% | 13 | 0.4\% |
| No Insurance | 5 | 1\% | 6 | 1\% | 9 | 1\% | 50 | 68\% | 1 | 0.5\% | 71 | 2\% |
| TOTAL | 938 | 26\% | 974 | 27\% | 1,368 | 39\% | 74 | 2\% | 196 | 6\% | 3,550 | 100\% |

[^4]
## 7. BI RTHS BY DELI VERY LOCATI ON

This table provides more details about where Santa Cruz County residents and non-residents deliver. The great majority of non-residents who deliver in Santa Cruz County are residents of Monterey County, and the majority of those births are delivered at Watsonville Community Hospital.

TABLE 7.2: Mother's Area of Residence, by Delivery Location, Santa Cruz County Occurrence or Residence, 2012

|  | DELIVERY LOCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dominican |  | Sutter |  | Watsonville |  | Non-Hospital |  | Out of County |  | Number | Percent |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |
| AREA OF RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SANTA CRUZ COUNTY | 855 | 91\% | 853 | 88\% | 1,098 | 80\% | 74 | 100\% | 195 | 100\% | 3,075 | 87\% |
| Mid-County | 174 | 20\% | 163 | 19\% | 18 | 2\% | 13 | 18\% | 24 | 12\% | 1,472 | 48\% |
| Santa Cruz Mtns. | 82 | 10\% | 76 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 15\% | 45 | 23\% | 232 | 8\% |
| Santa Cruz | 425 | 50\% | 364 | 43\% | 12 | 1\% | 47 | 64\% | 41 | 21\% | 877 | 29\% |
| Scotts Valley | 43 | 5\% | 52 | 6\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 1 | 1\% | 16 | 8\% | 124 | 4\% |
| South County | 131 | 15\% | 198 | 23\% | 1,067 | 97\% | 2 | 3\% | 69 | 35\% | 401 | 13\% |
| MONTEREY COUNTY | 56 | 6\% | 86 | 9\% | 243 | 18\% | - | - | - |  | 385 | 11\% |
| SAN BENITO COUNTY | 7 | 1\% | 21 | 2\% | 17 | 1\% | - | - | - | - | 45 | 1\% |
| SANTA CLARA COUNTY | 10 | 1\% | 7 | 1\% | 5 | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | 22 | 1\% |
| OTHER COUNTIES | 10 | 1\% | 7 | 0.7\% | 5 | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | 22 | 0.6\% |
| TOTAL | 938 | 26\% | 974 | 27\% | 1,368 | 39\% | 74 | 2\% | 195 | 5\% | 3,549 | 100\% |

Note: 1 mother from an unknown county delivered "Out of County." She is not included in the table above.

Figure 7.1: Percentage of Births, by Delivery Location, Santa Cruz County Occurrence or Residence, 2012


## 7. BI RTHS BY DELI VERY LOCATI ON

The medical authority to handle high-risk births varies by hospital. Oftentimes when a resident travels out of county, it is to deliver at a hospital that is designated as having the ability to deliver very high-risk births. In Santa Cruz County, Dominican Hospital has the only Level 3 Neonatal Intensive Care Unit-which greatly influences their outcome data, since other hospitals may send high-risk pregnant women to Dominican Hospital for delivery.

Figure 7.2: Percentage of Births with Low Birthweight, Very Low Birthweight, Preterm and Very Preterm, by Delivery Location, Santa Cruz County Occurrence or Residence, 2012


## CESAREAN BIRTHS

Below are figures on the percent of cesarean births among low-risk births to females either without a prior cesarean birth (Figure 7.3) or with a prior cesarean birth (Figure 7.4); low-risk births are defined in the figure notes.


## 8. TRENDS

## EARLY PRENATAL CARE

The percentage of mothers who received early prenatal care (1st trimester) was $82.1 \%$ in 2012 compared to $82.4 \%$ in 2011 (Figure 10.1). The Healthy People objective was lowered to $77.9 \%$ for 2020 from $90 \%$ in 2010; the 2020 objective has been met countywide and statewide for at least ten years. Figure 10.2 shows early prenatal care by either Medi-Cal or private insurance as the delivery payment source. Medi-Cal patients represented the larger portion of the decline that occurred in 2007 -- the year Medi-Cal replaced the in-person application process with a call center and required a birth certificate for mothers who were not born in California.


Figure 10.2: Percentage of Births Receiving Early Prenatal Care (1st Trimester), by Delivery Payment Source, Santa Cruz County Residents, 2003-2012


## 8. TRENDS

## ADEQUACY OF PRENATAL CARE UTILIZATION

The percentage of mothers who adequately utilized prenatal care (Kotelchuck Index, see Definitions on page 2) was $82.8 \%$ in 2012 compared to $74.9 \%$ in 2003 (Figure 10.3). The county trend is going in the desired direction, and although we have met the Healthy People 2020 target, there is still room for improvement. The 2008 California data is the most current year that is publicly available.


## MEDI-CAL FUNDED DELIVERIES

Figure 10.4 shows the trend in the percentage of deliveries funded by Medi-Cal. In 2012, 52\% of Santa Cruz County residents delivering in the county were funded by Medi-Cal, compared to $44 \%$ in 2003.



[^0]:     Outcomes," which does not detail the comprehensive list of mutually exclusive categories.
    HP2020 Targets: Reduce low birthweight (<5.5 lbs) to $7.8 \%$; reduce very low birthweight (<3.3 lbs) to $1.4 \%$; reduce preterm (<37 weeks) to $11.4 \%$ and very preterm (<32 weeks) to $1.8 \%$

[^1]:    Other includes American Indian / Alaska Native and Multiple Race Categories. The ethnicityspecific "Fertility Rates" are the number of births per ethnicity divided by the female population (ages 15-44) per ethnicity.

[^2]:    Note: The sum of column categories does not always equal the overall column total either because of missing information (percentages are out of known data) or because of the nature of the column, such as "Birth Outcomes," which does not detail the comprehensive list of mutually exclusive categories.

[^3]:    Note: This table does not include 44 births for whom mother's cigarette smoking status was missing for one or more of the above time periods.

[^4]:     describe the entire patient population by delivery location.
    (1) P.I. = Pacific Islander; (2) GED = General Equivalency Degree (or Diploma), includes some college; (3) Outcomes are among all births and do not exclude multiple births.

